

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Yoram Nelken
SERIAL NO.: Unknown
FILING DATE: Filed Herewith
TITLE: System and Method for Automatic Task Prioritization
EXAMINER: Unknown
ART UNIT: Unknown
ATTY. DKT. NO.: PA2325

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service having Express Mail No. EV 002169106 US, addressed to: United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202, on the date printed below:

Date: DEC. 4, 2001

David Lewis
David Lewis

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT A

Sir:

Submitted herewith is Continuation Application claiming priority from U.S. Patent Application No. 09/602,588 filed on June 21, 2000. Please enter the following amendments:

In the Specification

Between the title and line 3 add

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of Patent Application No. 09/602,588 filed on June 21, 2000, by the same title and inventor.

In the Claims

Cancel claim 50.

Add new claims 51-67.

1 51. The system of claim 1 wherein the decision engine is capable of learning new priority
2 criteria based on a relative importance of communications learned from an order in which an
3 agent selected communications.

1 52. The system of claim 1 wherein the priority codes are determined according to rules for
2 prioritizing communications.

1 53. The system of claim 1 wherein the priority codes are assigned to communications without
2 an assigned priority.

1 54. The system of claim 18 wherein the decision engine is capable of learning new priority
2 criteria based on a relative importance of tasks learned from an order in which an agent selected
3 tasks.

1 55. The system of claim 18 wherein the priority codes are determined according to rules for
2 prioritizing tasks.

1 56. The system of claim 18 wherein the priority codes are assigned to tasks without an
2 assigned priority.

1 57. The method of claim 35 further comprising learning new priority criteria based on a
2 relative importance of communications learned from an order in which an agent selected
3 communication.

1 58. The method of claim 35 wherein the determining is performed using rules for prioritizing
2 communications.

1 59. The method of claim 35 wherein the priority codes are assigned to communications
2 without an assigned priority.

1 60. The system of claim 49 wherein the means for determining is capable of learning new
2 priority criteria based on a relative importance of communications learned from an order in
3 which an agent selected communications.

1 61. The system of claim 49 wherein the priority codes are determined according to rules for
2 prioritizing communications.

1 62. A system comprising a decision engine that
2 determines priority codes for items, which are tasks or communications, and
3 is capable of learning new priority criteria based on a relative importance of the items
4 learned from an order in which an agent selected the items.

1 63. A system comprising:
2 a contact center configured to receive items, which are communications or tasks;
3 a decision engine that
4 determines a priority code for each of the items received according to rules for
5 prioritizing the items,
6 is capable of determining the priority code for items without an assigned priority,
7 and
8 is capable of learning new rules for prioritizing items based on positive and negative
9 feedback related to a relative importance of items based on an order in which
10 an agent selected the items; and
11 at least one queue configured to store the items in order of the priority code.

1 64. A system comprising:
2 a contact center configured to receive items, which are communications or tasks;
3 a decision engine that
4 determines a priority code for each of the items received according to rules for
5 prioritizing the items,
6 is capable of determining the priority code for items without an assigned priority,
7 and
8 is capable of learning new rules for prioritizing items based on a relative importance
9 of items learned from an order in which an agent selected the items, and
10 includes
11 a parser and is configured to analyze text, voice, natural language content,
12 emotional content, identify keywords, identify concepts, and determine
13 relationships between the concepts of the items received; and
14 at least one queue configured to store the items in order of the priority code.

1 65. A method comprising:
2 automatically learning a new priority rule based on an order in which an agent selected
3 items, which are communications or tasks; and
4 automatically determining priority codes for the items using the new priority rule.

1 66. A method comprising:
2 receiving items, which are communications or tasks that do not have a previously
3 assigned priority;
4 automatically learning a new priority rule based on an order in which an agent selected
5 the items;
6 automatically determining priority codes for the items using the new priority rule; and
7 storing the items prioritized in at least one queue according to the priority code.

1 67. A method comprising:
2 receiving items, which are communications or tasks that do not have a previously
3 assigned priority;
4 automatically learning a new priority rule based on an order in which an agent selected
5 the items;
6 automatically determining priority codes for the items using the new priority rule;
7 parsing the items including
8 analyzing text contents of items containing text of the items,
9 analyzing voice contents of items having voice contents of the items,
10 analyzing natural language contents of items containing natural language of the
11 items,
12 analyzing emotional contents of items having emotional content of the items,
13 identifying keywords of items containing words of the items,
14 identifying concepts of items of the item that contain concepts, and
15 determining relationships between the concepts of items having relationships
16 between the concepts of the items; and
17 storing the items prioritized in at least one queue according to the priority code.

REMARKS

The attached oath or declaration was originally filed on June 21, 2000 with application no. 09/602,588, having the same title and inventor. Please apply the oath or declaration to the application filed herewith.

Claims 1-49 and 51-67 are patentable for the reasons set forth in the response filed on July 2, 2001 in the parent application.

Under 37 CFR 1.104(b), the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner provide references for his unsupported assertions should he maintain his prior rejections.

Respectfully Submitted,

Yoram Nelken

Date: DEC. 4, 2001

By: David Lewis

David Lewis, Reg. No. 33,101
Carr & Ferrell *LLP*
2225 East Bayshore Road, Suite 200
Palo Alto, CA 94303
Phone (650) 812-3400
Fax (650) 812-3444